R. Dis File No. 9(17)

1939.

Collection No.

B

Mysore Residency

(a)

19/7/47

Mas 19/7

Pros. / Serial Nos. 1 - 20.

147

(47) D

Subject.

Grant of Succession certificate in favour of Mangalamba & others in the matter of the estate of Koduri Venkateswarlu.

Previous References.

Later References.

1 A

16/10/46

S. 97.—(Green).

MFP—1663 S&P—(M-2971)—24-6-38—100,000.

(11)

Please see S.N.1, S.No.8, 9, 10 and 12.

(12)

This is a petition for a succession certificate in favour of the widow, the 1st petitioner and brothers, petitioners 2 to 5 of the deceased, Koduri Venkateswaralu. The father of the deceased objects,* and prays that the certificate may be granted in his favor, as the Manager of the joint Hindu family. The debts, for which the succession certificate is applied for, are all insurance amounts, aggregating to Rs 11,163-4-0. Various allegations are made against the father of the deceased and both sides have filed a number of documents to show that they are joint and that they have an interest in the properties of the deceased. The Additional Judge has held in R.O.F.No. 1383 of 1936 that a life insurance policy is usually a personal and not a joint family investment. So, in a summary proceeding like this, it is not necessary to decide whether the family is a joint one or not.

* S.N. 8 / Cr. p 18

paras 31 + 32 of (J) notes

(13)

(T)

The widow of the deceased according to the Hindu Law in force in Mysore (Vide Section 4(1)(ii) of the Hindu Law Woman's Rights Act 1933(X of 1933) is a preferential heir to the brothers and the father of the deceased and the 1st petitioner is therefore entitled to the grant of the succession certificate. The registered Agreement referred to in para 7 of the petition clearly seems to have been brought about by undue influence, and may be voidable in law.

(14)

The next question for consideration in this case is whether the 1st petitioner is a minor. The father of the deceased and the only opponent in the case states that she is a minor aged about 17 years. In the petition it is stated that her age is 20½ years. From the invitation post card posed on the 15th June 1927, it is seen that the marriage of the 1st petitioner was on or about 18th June 1927. Then her marriage might have taken place according to the age given

* para 4 of S.N. 8 / p. 18

(Cr. pp 60 & 61)

given in the petition, when she was 8½ years old. The opponent produces a post-card dated the 4th May 1936 (the translation of which is at cor.p. 169) wherein it is stated that the 1st petitioner attained puberty on or about 2nd ~~June~~ May 1936. From this he wants us to infer that she was only 13 on that date and now she will be 17 and her marriage was at her 4th year, which is most unlikely. The difference in the age of the 1st petitioner, according to the parties in the case, is 3½ years From the circumstances explained above, leaving a wide margin on either side, it can be safely fixed that her age on the date of petition was not less than 18.

(15) As there is a conflict between the parties the usual security may be taken from her before a certificate is granted to the 1st petitioner. Submitted for orders.

20/x/35.

(from para 11)

ASRao
29.x.35

(16) Secretary

If the widow had applied for the certificate in her sole right, I agree. But see page 3 of Sho 1 para (7), whereby where it is stated that all 5 petitioners are entitled to get the certificate jointly. It appears therefore that it is joint family property, & the worthless & debauchers papa must come in somewhere, while they can prove in a Court that he is no longer in the family fold. Please speak.

30/10/35.

JC

(17)

*para (7) ? S.N.1

The petitioners allege that they constitute a joint family, of which the deceased brother was the Head and Manager, the father having left them more than 12 years ago. The respondent, the father, makes the same allegation that they constitute a joint family, but prays that the Certificate may be granted to him as the Head and Manager. Granting that the family is joint, it has been ruled that life insurance policy is a personal and not a joint family investment, and must therefore vest in the widow. The question then is whether on account of the registered agreement executed by the widow in favour of petitioners 2 and 5, the Certificate must be granted jointly to all of them. From the fact that the agreement came into existence on the very next day after the death of her husband- the more so in conservative Hindu families - it is clear it was extracted by fraud and undue influence. The widow has prima facie the best title, and appears alone to be entitled to the Certificate. In summary proceedings it is not intended that complicated questions of law should be gone into. The grant of the Certificate does not confer a right or create a title which is otherwise non-existent; it confers an authority to collect certain debts. Please see sub-sections (3) and (4) of section 373 and sub-section (1) of section 375 of the Succession Act, 1925. In the present case the point will be who should be driven to a civil Court. It is far better to cause the petitioners 2 to 5 than the widow to proceed to suit. The taking of security will safeguard the rights of all persons entitled in law to the amounts. The conduct of the brothers of the deceased on the one hand and of the father on the other does not seem to be unimpeachable, and in the circumstances it appears the widow is entitled in equity also to the Certificate.

Q S.N.8

para 32 ? F.1383-36 - (J)

please see paras (2) & (7) ? S.N.1.

AsRao
3.xi.39

Secretary.

(18) on reading the agreement for which, if it was genuine, there would be no necessity, I agree that it is thoroughly bogus. Certificate may be issued to the widow with Security; though I am sure that the brothers or the wood father will pinch it in the end.

H Pilgrim
4/11/35.

B4/11

(19) Resident. The certificate may be granted to Ghulam Ali's widow

H Pilgrim

B6/11

(20) Please see from para 18.

Draft security bond and covering letter submitted.

AE 2.
8/XI/35

H Pilgrim.
13/11/35.

(para 20)

B14/11

ASPilm
11-11-35

Secretary.

S. M. (13) Issue.

Branch
16/12/35

10/1/40

SNI



OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
22 JUN. 1939
Diary No. 6343
File No.

Before the Hon'ble the British Resident in
Mysore as the Political Agent, Bangalore.

Petition No: /1939.
R. Dis. C No. 9(17) —

Petitioners:-

(1) Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma,
Daughter of K. Ramaswami Setty and
Wife of late Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu,
Age: about 20½ yrs, Vysya,

(2) K. Seshigiri Rao,
Age: about 26½ yrs, Vysya,

(3) K. Ranga Rao,
Age: about 23½ yrs, Vysya,

(4) K. Subba Rao,
Age: about 20½ yrs, Vysya,

(5) K. Basaviah,
Age: about 14½ yrs, Vysya;
the 5th petitioner Minor by the guardian and Next-Friend, the 2nd petitioner his present sur-viving eldest brother K.Seshigiri Rao.

Petitioners 2,3,4, & 5 are all sons of Koduri. Venkatasubbarayadu, and the surviving brothers of late Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu.

Occupation & Address: All the above petitioners are living together, and are running their Firm - Viz, "K. V. & Bros," High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers, and Perfumers, No:438, Avenue Road, Bangalore City.

Under Section 382 of the Indian Succession Act XXXIX of 1925, the petitioners 1 to 5 above-named beg to state as follows:-

P.T.O.

(1) That the addresses of the Petitioners for the purposes of Court-Notices etc are as shown above in the Cause-Title.

(2) That Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu, the --husband of the 1st petitioner, and the eldest --brother of the petitioners 2,3,4 and 5, died on 28-1-1939 at Bangalore City.

(3) That the said late Mr, Koduri.Venkate--swaralu, ordinarily resided at the time of his -death at Bangalore City, and the said Koduri . Venkateswaralu also owned his property Viz, his Life-Insurance amount due on (i) Policy No:38008 for Rs4,000/- plus Bonus, (ii) on Policy No:38923 for Rs2,000/- plus Bonus, at Messrs, The New India Assurance Co.,Ltd (of Bombay,India) Madras branch, and (iii) on Policy No:622439 for Rs:4,963-4-0, -at The Oriental Govt: Security Life Assurance Co,Ld, (of Bombay), Bangalore Branch, Oriental Buildings, South Parade, Bangalore, within the local limits of the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court.

(4) That the above 5 petitioners are the -only members of the Joint-Family, and the nearest surviving relatives of the said deceased Koduri. Venkateswaralu. All the said five petitioners are residing together at Bangalore City. Till the time his of/death, Mr,Koduri.Venkateswaralu,was the Head and the Manager of the petitioners' Joint-Family, and after his death, the present surviving eldest -brother, viz, K. Seshigiri Rao, the 2nd petitioner has been the Head and the Manager of the petitioners' Joint-Family, and none else.

(5) That Koduri.Venkatasubbarayadu, the -father of the petitioners 2,3,4, & 5; and also the father of late Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu, is now aged about 65 years. He left all the petitioners and also the late Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu, more than Twelve Years back, and has been leading ---

Advs. for Petnrs.
21-6-39
22

19



In the Court of the Hon'ble the British-Resident in Mysore as the Political-Agent, Bangalore.

Petition ~~O.S.~~ NO OF 1939 ~~19~~

Petitioners. Appellant, Plaintiff,		Opponent. Respondent, Defendant.
(1) Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma.	VS.	Kaduri Venkatasubbarayadu.
(2) K. Seshigiri Rao		
(3) K. Ranga Rao		
(4) K. Subba Rao		
(5) K. Basaviah the 5th Minor by guardian & Next-friend 2nd petitioner K. Seshigiri Rao.		

(1) We the petitioners above named, do by these presents retain **Mr.K. Subbiah Setty B.A.,B.L.,** ~~Vakil~~ Advocate, *Avenue Road, Bangalore City.* to appear and conduct the above case on — our — behalf, to take all steps which may be necessary on — our behalf arising out of or in connection with the above case either during progress or after its decision.

(2) ..... We .....further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree that may be passed in our favour and to receive from the Court all documants, any refund of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on our behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said case, to act as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as we might do if personally present and did the same.

(3) ..... We .....also do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify, and confirm all acts done by the said ~~Vakil~~ Advocate or by any other Vakil on his behalf in so doing.

1 K. ...
2 ...
3 ...
4 ...
5 ...

Bangalore City
21—6—1939

Accepted.
K. Subbiah Setty
~~Vakil~~ Advocate for 1-21-6-39

Personally known to me
and signed before me.
K. S. Setty
~~Vakil~~ Advocate

OFFICE OF THE
H'BLE THE RESIDENT
MYSORE, BANGALORE.
14 AUG. 1939
Diary No. 8645
File No. RA 9(17)/39

S.N.8

18

A.R.
14.8.39

Mr. Chetty.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore
at Bangalore.

R.O. No. 9 (17) of 1939.

In the matter of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswarulu.

Applicants		Respondent
Mangalamba alias Thayaramma and 4 others.	Vs	Koduri Venkata-subbarayudu, Vetapalem, Guntur Dt.

The respondent begs to file the following objections:-

1. The petitioners have attempted to obtain this succession certificate on the sly by giving a wrong address of this respondent as Tennaly while they perfectly well knew that this respondent was in Vetapalem as the letters addressed to the respondent by some of the petitioners would show. He came to know of this application through a friend of his.

2. It is absolutely false that the 1st petitioner's husband and the petitioners 2 to 5 alone constituted a joint family while the respondent their father never separated from them as alleged.

3. This respondent and the petitioners have been living jointly as members of joint Hindu family but the petitioners 2 to 4 have with a view to defraud the respondent of the amounts to be received under the life insurance policies of his deceased son have not only -

191

made these false allegations that this - respondent has separated for over 12 years, that he has been leading a reckless and immoral life and that he has no connection whatsoever with the family and so on which allegations are disproved by the letters written by the petitioners 2 and 3 and which are filed -- herewith with their translations.

4. The 1st petitioner is still a minor aged about 17 years.

5. That with a view to defraud the 1st petitioner also, the petitioners 2 to 4 have on the very next day after the death of the deceased, have got up a document whereby - petitioners 2 to 4 have been empowered to - realise these insurance monies and to use it as they chose without any hindrance from the 1st petitioner and with a view to make it - appear that that document is a valid one have given the age of the 1st petitioner as more than 20 which is not true.

6. The business though run in the name of the deceased eldest son of the respondent, the business is a joint family concern and the allegations in the petition that the -- respondent has no interest in it is false.

7. In any case, the petitioners 2 to 5 have no better right to succeed to the estate and are not entitled to recover these sums - - independantly of the respondent who is the - head of the said joint Hindu family.

8. This respondent has been moving -- between Vetapalem (Guntur District) where the family has a house and Bangalore and - living at either place.

20

9. The 3rd petitioner came to Vetapalem on 20-4-1939 and wanted this respondent to - agree to the petitioners drawing these --- insurance amounts and asked this respondent to sign a paper which was got ready by him in English which the respondent refused to do - unless he knew the contents, whereupon the - respondent and the 3rd petitioner went to the lawyer Mr. Dakshinamurthy, who explained that it was a declaration to the effect that this respondent had.no interest in the insurance - monies and in the business, which the respondent refused to sign and got registered notices - issued to the Insurance Companies.

This respondent prays therefore that the succession certificate be granted to him the head of the family or to him and the petitioners jointly.

Sd. Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu
Respondent.

Advocate for respondent

I, the respondent abovenamed do hereby declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my knowledge.

Sd. Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu
Respondent.

Bangalore,
Dated 14th August 1939.

List of documents filed.

Serial No.	Date	Description	Parties
1.	18-2-'39	Letter with cover	Written by 2nd & 3rd petnrs to respondent.
2.	18-3-'39	- do -	-do- by 3rd petnr to respondent.
3.	30-3-'39	- do -	- do -
4.	7-3-'39	- do -	Written by 2nd petnr to respondent.
5.	17-3-'39	- do -	- do -
6.	25-3-'39	- do -	- do -
7.	1-4-'39	- do -	by 4th petnr to respondent.

Translations of the above letters are filed.

Advocate for respondent.

21

I have seen the objections filed by respondent.
I pray for ten days time to file my reply. K. Subbiah Setty
Advocate for Petitioners.
15-8-39

(22

Translations of the letters

1) K.V. & Brothers,
High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers
and Perfumers,
Avenue Road, Bangalore City.
Date 18-2-1939

To the feet of our revered father, many salutations of your sons Seshagiri Rao and Ranga Rao. We took - leave of you the date before yesterday on 16-2-39 and having started that day reached Bangalore safely at 8-30- P.M. yesterday. Seshagiri Rao will leave for Cuddapah tomorrow. This I am informing you. Thus - many salutations.

Sd. K. Ranga Rao

Received by respondent at Vetapalem on 20-2-39.

---

2) K.V. & Brothers,
High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers,
and Perfumers,
Avenue Road, Bangalore City.
Date 18-3-'39.

To the feet of revered father, many salutations of your son Ranga Rao. We are all well. To this - affect I am writing: your letter was received and - all the news were duly learnt. As you wrote we have sent you Rs 10/-/- by money order. Having received the amount you may purchase the necessary things for the household. I have today written to my elder - brother Seshagiri Rao. No amount has been sent from there. Till that amount is received please manage - with the amount now sent. In the meantime he may - send you. We are receiving letters from elder -- brother Seshagiri Rao daily. He has been keeping us informed of the news at home. Beyond not replying to you there is nothing in particular. Let Dhanamma get confined in due time. Let nothing be spared - for the expences for the same. We will be sending the amount. We are all well here. Please write for the welfare there. Please tell Dhanamma and sister-in-law

23

that I inquired after them. Subbulu and Basaviah are all well. Please reply. Thus my salutations.

Sd. K. Ranga Rao.

Received at Vetapalem on 20-3-39.

---

3)

K.V. & Brothers,
High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers
and Perfumers,
Avenue Road,
Bangalore City.
Date 30-3-39.

To Maharajesri father Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu many salutations of your son Ranga Rao. Your letter 27-3-39 was received yesterday, and the news were duly learnt. I could not reply to you yesterday for want of time. You wrote that Dhanamma has completed her ninth month of --- pregenancy and is likely to be confined soon. I am praying to God that by His Grace she may have a safe delivery. I have written to Seshagiri Rao relating to your letter. He should have replied to you. I have written to him regarding all the matters you wrote about. Inform --- Dhanamma and sister-in-law that I inquired after them. I have written to Seshagiri Rao to Rajamahendry to send you the amount. If it is not so far sent you need not be anxious. If there is any urgent need you can take as an accommadation from cousin Lakshmanaswamy. You need not be anxious regarding the amount. We are all well . Write of the welfare of you all there. Thus many salutations. Chi: Basaviah will - leave this on the 6th and go there.
(Written by Ranga Rao) and received on 1-4-39.

(26

Rajamahendry. From the letter received from Bangalore today, it appears Basaviah has finished his examination. Please inform all. Be pleased.

Intd.

---

7) Sriram

Bangalore City,
1-4-'39.

To sister Dhanamma blessings from brother Subba Rao. All are well here. We are expecting news about your welfare. Received your letter and learnt about the news. We are all glad. We are all glad because you were safely delivered of a son and had your bath and you are all doing well. Chi: Basaviah will leave this on the 6th and come there. He will bring the things you wrote for. I am not able to come, as there is lot of work here. You need not be anxious. Chi: -- Basaviah ~~and~~ inquired after you and sister-inlaw. Basaviah is studying well. He has finished his examination. Sister-in-law tells me to write that she has inquired after you. You will have to tell sister-in-law there that we are all inquiring after her. Please inform Swami that I have inquired after him. Please inform father also that I inquired after him. So also of sister-in-law, and also of all others at home. Chi: Basaviah will be coming. That is all the n ews.

Sd. K. Subba Rao.

Addressed to the respondent and received on 3-4-39.

"True translations"

Advocate for respondent.

K. V. & BROTHERS

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS
AND PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, BANGALORE CITY

No. .....

Date 18.2.39.

తిరిగి కాళ్ళాలయిన తండ్రిగారి పాదపద్మములకు —

తమకు మీ మళ్ళా పాకుగిరాళ్ళ రంగారెడ్డిగారు యిచ్చిన పత్రములు
యిప్పుడు మీవాలయిచ్చియున్నాము మీరు అంగీకరించు — ది 16.2.39 నవుల్
తారీకున మీ లాంసాల దగ్గిర నుండి వెళ్ళినాము నిన్నటి దినం రాత్రి
8½ గంటలకు వంగళూరుకు సుఖంగా చేరినాము.
పాకుగిరాళ్ళ తహసీలుదారుకు పత్రాలు వ్రాయుచున్నాము. యిందుగలవు
తాలయవలెను.

యింతే తమ నమస్కారములు

ది - [illegible]

281

K. V. & BROTHERS.,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS & PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, BANGALORE CITY

(1) To (1)

6-4-9
6-8-9
5-12-9

Kaduri Venkatasubbarayadu
garu

China Bazar

Vetapalem

Guntur Dist

6-14-0

(2)

(2)

(30

K. V. & BROTHERS

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS
AND PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, : BANGALORE CITY

No. Date 18.3.39

K. V. & BROTHERS.,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS & PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, .: :: BANGALORE CITY

(2) To Mr.

Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu garu

Krishna bazaar.

K. Vetapalem

Guntur Dist

VETAPALEM
POSTAGE
INDIA
HALF ANNA

(3)

37

K. V. & Brothers,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS
AND PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, BANGALORE CITY.

No.

Date 30.3.39

K. V. & BROTHERS.,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS & PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, :: :: BANGALORE CITY

(3) To Mr

Kodavri Venkatasubbarayadu garu

Ginna bazzar.

Vetapalem

Guntur Dist


INDIA
POSTAGE
THREE PIES

(4) 7.3.39 (34)

K. V. & BROTHERS.,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS & PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, .: :: BANGALORE CITY

To

POSTAGE
POSTAGE
THREEPENCE
3D


THREEPENCE
3D

(5) (36)

శ్రీరాము లూరి 17/3/39 సం॥ పాలకొల్లు
మహారాజశ్రీకర్రీ మహింక లేఖ్యము వ్రాయునది
యన్నవారయనగారివినుతమహాదరాభ్యాకర్రీ రిసేష
గిరిదాత్రి ప్రపేక్షనమస్కారములు చేసి మంత్రము
గారి వాలాయము సరియేయమనగారి మేము పెంపుతి
బాగుచానతణుమ్ము మంచిబంగారులు వెరిపోలకొ
ల్లుగ్రామమున క్షేమముగాండెరినాము, మీరురోవి
రుమవల్లూ నబాయుమ్ము బంధులుమేము వలయున
ట్టి మామ్మపైను మీరు వ్యాపారం బాతిగానేడు ప్ర
లారగ్గ తాత్రివిలింగుచేసూర్తిసార్థము॥ ఇ॥ చెపెలు
ఢ్డు మన్మథులాసాంగా తిరుగుచూ చిన్నవయినమ
న్మార్థియాంటూ ప్రాంతదుక్షేమము లుతోటెవ్రం
టపనే భీమవరం మావాయువత్తిమ్మయిచ్చేలు
రెండులు బాసిగాశ్రీం టూచిన్నకి, నమ్మింటనే
బాబు వాయువు మమ్ప్రలశ్నంప్రయివంతము
క్షేమంబవలయున్ను ప్రత్యుత్తరమునుమాయిమ్మ
టపనే చుబాబు వాయువత్తిమ్మనయింటూ ప్రం
తరినిమమ్మ ప్రడిగినటు చెప్పవత్తిమ్ము మము
ప్రంతవరము మంరపాగారపే శ్రీంటూ రిచ్చాము

చిత్తగింపవలెను

శ్రీ గారు శ్రీమతి



(6) (6) 38

తారీఖు 25/3/39 వ॥ నిరత పొలు

మవ రాచమెల్లాపోదూ విచ్చేయుమన్నారు

దయుతు నాదరుగారివిత మాతావాత్సల్యపెట్టి

చాల్చి నవమస్కారము చేసి ముఖ్యముగా

వారునుదివేయమగా మారుభమవరమ్మా

నవచేయుండుచేదిపరగతుఅత్తవిచినవి, పత్రిచెంగళూ

రుకు వాసినచెటుకు వారిగుమాలకూవత్తమూ

మడిచినవి, మము స్నేహపూర్వకముగా చిరిగిభమువ

చంనిన్నటి దినం వెళ్ళిదాము మాకుటుంబపరుస

రాగాచేసరుచున మామురది తోచలుముచెప్పినకు

శెంగళూరుమంచిచరంగాడాక్టరు గారిచేమందిచ్చి

మామ మిత్రులమాసినాడు మాలాసిన స్నేహరము

లాగానెమెదసూచితోవారిచుచెప్పినసంతవరి

పెట్టిచెడుకొనిన్నిస్నేహనిచూపాలనిమ్మరముగామ

మనాభిమానమురియిచ్చేటిచుండలాగిరగటము

నన్నిచేక్షేభాగుసంచేసేటుకున్నాచ్చాపరంపరి

గారచేపమోహటముమన్నిచుండుమళ్ళిమామయ

కుతోరపరిచుమరిచేతభవదిక్షతాలయిమ్మ

లిన్నిమనాని చెప్పేడిచిన్ననాటస్నేహితోటనే

నుచెప్పేడినచెటుకొన్నిచాయచుటకుచెప్పయిచ్చే

టం లిన్నిసరచ్చాలాన్నిచట్టివాలాసినాముకాని మ

మూచమటిచేయుకిమోహమముకొడ్డిరంగారాశ్రీ.

P.T.O.



తాలూకు
బెంగుళూరుపోస్టు
తే 1-4-1939 సం

చి॥ కొ॥ మాచెల్లెలు ధనమ్మకు మీఅన్నను బ్బాబాలు అనేక
ఆశీర్వాదనములు ఇక్కడంతా క్షేమముఅక్కడ మీయొక్క
క్షేమములకు ఎదురు చూస్తూవున్నాము మీవుత్తరం చేరబుచే
సంగతులు తెలిసినవి చాలసంతోషమువున్నది భగవంతుడు
దయవల్లనేవుపకక్షణముగా సాంసముచేసే భగవంతుడుదయ
వల్ల మగబిడ్డపుట్టేమాకుతెలిసినతోడనే మాయింటి
వున్నది చి॥ బసవయ్యకొడికి బయలుదేరివస్తాడునేవుత్తరం
వ్రాశాలము బసవయ్యతోటుకాన్నిస్తాడునేను వస్తాకాలు లేకా
డుండుటకొడికొండగా ఇక్కడపనులు జాస్తిగావున్నవిరాలేకుండా
టికేనువస్తాకావలసినకాజుతెలియపరచినను నేవుభయ
ముపడవద్దు చి॥ బసవయ్యను నేనుపంపిన చాలదూరము
తడిగిన ట్లుచెప్పినాడు బసవయ్యనే భోగాచదువుకుంటూ
వున్నాడుపళ్ళేరియినాగడుచుకొవచ్చినను చాలదూర
మూతడిగినట్లుచెప్పినదిచ్చినను చాలదూరమూతడిగినట్లు
చెప్పవలయును ఇక్కడసాంబమునను చాలదూరమూతడిగి
నట్లుచెప్పవలయును మనకాయసాగాలుమూడిగినట్లుచెప్ప
వలయును చిమ్మంగినచేసినను చాలదూరమూతడిగినట్లు చెప్ప
వలయును మనయింట్లో బర్లకుండలుమూడిగినట్లుచెప్ప
వలయును చి॥ బసవయ్యనే బయలుదేరివస్తాడు తిరుగా

ఇంతేసంగతులు

14. సుబ్బరాయుడువాళ్ళు

K. V. & BROTHERS.,

HIGH CLASS AGARBATHI MANUFACTURERS & PERFUMERS,

AVENUE ROAD, .: :: BANGALORE CITY

To Mr

Kaduri Venkata Subbarayudu Garu

Chinna Razaiah

Vetapalem

Guntur Dist

Before the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore at Bangalore.

R.O. No. 9 (17) of 1939.

In the matter of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswarulu.

Mangalamba alias Thayaramma and others.

Applicants

Vs

Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu.

Respondent

Objection petition filed by respondent with letters and its translations.

A.V. Shankar Rao Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,

Advocate for respondent.

OF... HOME ... IN MYSORE ... Diary ... 8949 ... File No 209 (17)/39

S.N.9

43

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT, BANGALORE.

R. Dis. No: 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners	Vs	Resprndent.
1.K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma, 2. K. Seshigiri Rao, 3. K. Ranga Rao, 4. K. Subba Rao,, 5. K. Basaviah, the 5th, Minor by guardian & next-friend the 2nd petitioner. K. Seshi-giri Rao.		Koduri. Venkatasubba-rayadu.

The petitioners' Reply to the respondent's Obejections filed on 14-8-39 are as follows:-

(1) The petitioners deny all the allegations set out by the respondent in his objections-statement filed on 14-8-39, and do not admit any one of them. He may therefore be put to the strict proof of all that he has alleged.

(2) The allegations in para 1 of the objections-statement are not true. The petitioners have not attempted to get the Succession-Certificate on any sly as stated by the respondent, and they have not also given a wrong-address of the respondent as Tenaly, as per the 2 letters and 1 post-card received by the petitioners from Tenaly herewith filed, showing clearly that the respondent was residing at Tenaly during the months of June and July 1939; viz he was at Tenaly on the date on which, the petitioners filed their application in the above No: for the grant of a Succession-Certificate to them.

44

(3) The allegations in paras 2 and 3 of the -objections-statement are absolutely false and frivo--lous. The respondent and the petitioners are not -jointly living, nor, is the respondent a member of the petitioners' Joint-Family. The petitioners re--affirm that it is only they and the late Mr, Koduri. Venkateswaralu that constituted their Joint-Family, and that the respondent left the petitioners more -than Twelve years back, and has been throughout -- living a wreckless debaucherous life with prostitutes. The respondent has clearly admitted this fact in his own deposition (herewith filed) given in Calander Case No:132/1929 -before the P.B.Magistrate at Vetapalyam on 11-3-1930, wherein he has stated "I have kept a D.G.at Ammana--brolu.I have got connection with Peddaraghavam since 16 years." He is neither the Manager, nor the Head of the petitioners' Joint-Family, and their firm K.V.&Bros. Besides this, the respondent has not attended to the education of the 1st petitioner's husband late Koduri. Venkateswaralu, or the education of thepetitioner 2 to 5. He has also not performed the marriage of late Mr, Koduri. Venkateswaralu,as seen from the Post-Card dated: -15-6-1927 herewith filed, written by the said late Koduri. Venkateswarlu in his own hand to his eldest-uncle Koduri. Ranganayakalu at Vetapalyam requesting him to come for his marriage at Madras. Even the marriages of the -- petitioners 2 and 3 are also not performed by the -- respondent. The petitioners 4 and 5 are still bachelors. Added to this, the respondent has not given to the -- petitioners any neuclius of the ancestral property he got in his partition with his brothers in the year Keelaka, nor, did he give any kind of monetary help of his self--acquisitions if any, or any of his personal-services to the petitioners, or to their firm K.V.Bros at any time. These are all clearly proved from the various Affidavits of his relations and several respectable people of -- Vetapalyam herewith filed for the Hon'ble court's perusal.

K. Subbiah Setty
Advocate for
Petitioners
28-8-39

P.T.O.

(4) The allegations in paras 4 and 5 of the respondent's objections-statement are utterly false. The 1st petitioner K.Mangalamba(alias)Thayaramma, - was really about 20 years old when she executed the registered-agreement referred to in para 7 of the - petition. Her marriage with Koduri.Venkateswaralu was performed in the month of June 1927 as is evidenced from her marriage-ivitation post-card herewith filed. At the time of her marriage, she was aged about 8 or 9 years, which works out to about 20 years as her age on 29-[illegible]-1939, when she executed the above referred - registered Agreement in favour of the petitioners - --2 to 5. Hence, it is a perfectly valid-agreemet in law, and the True English Translation of the - same is herewith filed for the perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

(5) The allegations in paras 6,7,& 8 are -- utterly false and baseless. It is late Mr, Koduri. Venkateswarlu that started the business K.V. & Bros at Bangalore city with his own self-earned capital by hawking wares at Madras, and all the assets and properties of that firm are the self-acquisitions - of late Mr, Koduri.Venkateswaralu and the petitioners 2 to 5. The respondent has never lived with the peti--tioners for the past over 12 years, nor did he render any sort of help either of money, or of services, or of cooperation at any time for the conducting and -- managing the petitioners' firm's business at Bangalore City and elsewhere. The respondent has no house at - Vetapalyam as admitted by him in his own depisition in C.C.No:132/1929 herewith filed, and also has never -- remained jointly with the petitioners as per the Affida--vits filed herewith. Infact, there is no common food, or common worship, or common estate to the petitioners and the respondent, which are the main features and presumptions for their being jointly in Joint-Hindu--Family.

(6) The petitioners submit that the respon--dent lost all his properties he got during his -- partition by his immoral and wreckless life, and he was a destitute depending for his own maintenance on the help of his brothers, and also acting as a Tout.

P.T.O

(15)

He did not maintain even the petitioner's mother ie (his wife), who was therefore to depend upon her own earnings of daily wages she got by pounding rice, and the rents she got of her own house given to her by her father., and by those earnings she protected herself and her children. The -- respondent actually ill-treated and harrassed the 1st petitioners' husband late Mr, K.Venkateswaralu, and the petitioners 2 to 5 as well as their mother.Hence, the late Mr, K.Venkateswaralu and the petitioners - 2 to5 with their mother had to leave their native-place without a pie in their hands, and they made their earnings by the hard swet of their labour, and finally built up their firm called K.V.& Bros at Bangalore city by their own management and self-acquisitions. This is proved to the hilt by the Affidavits of all the brothers & relations of the respondent, and res--pectable people of Vetapalyam, as well as the respon--dent's own deposition herewith filed.

(7) As regards the letters filed by the res--pondent along with his objections-statement, the - petitioners have herewith filed the Replies (in original) of the respondent to some of them, along with their English translations. It is only during the months of February, March, and the beginning of April 1939, that there has been some correspondence between the petitioners 2 & 3 and the respondent. There has been no correspondence - either prior to or subsequent to those dates. The -- respondent then appeared on the scene because of the pregnancy of (his Daughter-) Dhanamma, who was at Vetapalyam, just with a malafide intention to harrass the petitioners , and to leach out from them as much money as possible -- creating various expenditures and make them toappear as true, just and necessary. In his replies, the res--pondent has stated that the petitioners do not believe him, and that he is kept there only as a Doll with an intention to turn him out, the moment the said Dhanamma delivers. He has also stated in his reply to the 3rd petitioner , that if money was not sent for his personal requirement, he would immediately go away leaving --

K. Subbiah Setty
Advocate for Petitioners
P/:28-8-39

P.T.O.

49

Sl.No:	Date. of Document.	Description.
(10) i.----	21-8-1939	Affidavit sworn to and signed by Koduri.Ranganayakalu eldest-brother of Respondent of Vetapalyam.
(11) ii.---	22-8-1939,	Do:of Koduri.SambaMoorthy the next eldest brother of Respt:
(12) iii.---	21-8-1939,	Do: of Koduri.Siva-Sambamoorthy the next elder--brother of Respt:
(13) iv----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Koduri.Lakshmanaswamy the Respt's elder brother's son.
(14) v. ----	21-8-1939,	Do: of Siram Ramiah, the respondent's own brother-inlaw.
(15)vi. ----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Chinna Ramaniah, the respondent is his nephew.
(16) vii. ---	21-8-1939.	Do: of Batta KotaSubbarayadu, Bench Magistrate.Vetapalyam
(17)viii. -----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Ramisetti Periah Naidu, Land-Lord & School Teacher of Vetapalyam.
(18) ix. ----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Gutti.Venkatsubbiah, Village Munsiff of Ravurupeta, Vetapalyam.
(19) x. ----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Bandla.Venkaiah, Village -Munsiff, Vetapalyam.
(20) xi ---	21-8-1939.	Do: of Godavarthi Rosaiah, Merchant, Vetapalyam.
(21) xii. ---	21-8-1939.	Do: Nayuni. Venkata subba Rao, Karnam, Vetapalyam.
(22) xiii ----	21-8-1939.	Do: of Vutukuri.Subbarayadu, Merchant, Vetapalyam.
(23) xiv. ---	25-8-1939.	Do: of Vutukuri.Lakshminara--simha Doss.Local-Fund Contractor Vetapalyam.
(24) xv. ---	25-8-1939.	Do: of Chunduri.Ankamma, Patriarch of Vysyas, Anumallipet, Vetapalayam.
(25) xvi. ----	26-8-1939.	Do: of Sajja ChinnaVenkatasubbiah President of Panchayathi Board, Vetapalyam.

Note :- English Translations of all the Documents are also filed

1 K. [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 K. [illegible]
4 K. [illegible]
5 K. [illegible]

K. Subbiah Setty
dt:-28-8-39
Advocate for Petitioners.

Verification.

We, the petitioners do hereby solumnly declare that what is stated above is true to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief.

1 K. [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 K. [illegible]
4 K. [illegible]
5. K. [illegible]

Bangalore city.
Date: 28-8-1939.

1110

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT, BANGALORE.

R. Dis. No. 9 (17) /1939.

Petitioners:--

1. K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma.
2. K. Seshigiri Rao.
3. K. Ranga Rao.
4. K. Subba Rao,
5. K. Basavaiah

minor by guardian and next friend the surviving eledest brother, 2nd Petitioner K.Seshagiri Rao.

Vs:

Opponent:--

Koduri Venkatasubbarayadu.

Affidavit by K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma.

I, the 1st Petitioner K. Mangalamba (Alias) Thayaramma, daughter of Kanjanuri Ramaswamy Setty and wife of late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu residing with K. V. & Bros., Agarbathi Manufacturers, 438 Avenue Road, Bangalore City, do hereby solumnly affirm and beg to state on oath as follows:--

1. My husband the late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu had insured his life under 3 policies (i) No. 38008 for Rs.4000/- plus bonus, (ii) No. 38923 for Rs.2000/- plus bonus at Messrs. The New India Assurance Co., Ltd., (of Bombay, India) Madras Branch,

141

Branch, and (iii) No. 622439 for Rs.4963-4-0 at the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Co., Ltd., (of Bombay) Bangalore Branch, in all for a sum of Rs.10,963-4-0 plus Bonus.

2. Before the death of my husband Koduri Venkateswaralu, he told me and the above Petitioners 3, 4 and 5 in presence of respectable witnesses B. Venkatrayappa and K. Channaraya Setty, that he had not made assignment of his above 3 life Insurance policies to anyone as yet, and that if he were to die intestate, I, along with the petitioners 2 to 5 abovenamed jointly, should recover the above life Insurance claims due on his 3 life Insurance policies from the above 2 life Assurance companies and must divide it equally among all of us, (viz., only the 5 petitioners above named,) after deducting all the expenses incurred for the recovery of the said claims. For these stipulations made by my husband the late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu, I willingly consented and promised him on oath that I would certainly act likewise, and fulfil his desires at all costs.

3. I swear on oath and say that he further told me that his said life insurance claims should go equally to only 5 of us-the petitioners, and not either to the above Respondent Koduri Venkatasubbarayadu or to anyone else. ಕೆ. ಸಂ ದಾನಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿರುವಂತೆ.

ಸಹಿ/= 11-9-39

186

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE [redacted].

R. Dis. No. 9/(17/1939.

Petitioners	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian and next friend present surviving eldest brother K. Seshagirirao (2nd petitioner)		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Koduru Ranganayakulu.

--oOo--

I, Koduru Ranganayakulu, son of China Subbarayudu, aged 65 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant [redacted] of Vetapalem in Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my younger brother. Petitioner 1 is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his share on partition with me and the other brothers. When late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years the

respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not either attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu and I had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father, and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some yeqrs after, we found him at Madras hawking whares and he gradually with the aid advancing creditors built up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agarbathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. The business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

4. The first petitioner was 20 years of age at the time of her husband's death. I am willing to be

188

examined on commission if the Honourable Court so pleases.

Solemnly affirmed this 21st day of August 1939 in my presence, the contents hereof having been read and translated into Telugu and accepted by the deponent to be true and correct.

P. George,
Honorary Magistrate,
Vetapalem,
21.8.39

89

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE ~~ETC~~.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & nest friend present surviving eldest brother K. Seshagirirao (2nd petitioner)		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Koduri Sambamurthy.

I, Koduri Sambamurthy, son of China Subbarayudu, aged 62 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my younger brother. Petitioner 1 is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his share on partition with me and the other brothers. When

late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years the respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu, and ~~I~~ Koduri Ranganayakulu had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some years after we found him at Madras hawking wares and he gradually with the aid advancing creditors built up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agarbathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. The business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

4. The first petitioner was 20 years of age at the time of her husband's death. I am willing to be examined on commission if the Honourable Court so pleases.

Solemnly affirmed this 22nd day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the deponent audibly in Telugu he being unacquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

X

Honorary Presidency Magistrate.

92

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AT THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE [redacted].

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother (2nd petitioner) K. Seshagirirao		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Koduri Sivasambamurthy.

--*--

I, Koduri Sivasambamurthy, son of China Subbarayudu, aged 58 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my younger brother. Petitioner 1 is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his share on partition with me and the other brothers. When late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years

93

the respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu and Koduri Ranganayakulu had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some years after we found him at Madras hawking wares and he gradually with the aid advancing creditors built up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agarbathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. The business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

4. The first petitioner was 20 years of age at

194

the time of her husband's death. I am willing to be examined on commission if the Honourable Court so pleases.

Solemnly affirmed this 21st day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the deponent in Telugu, he being unacquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

21-8-39

P. George,
Honorary Magistrate,
Vetapalem,
21.8.39

4. The first petitioner was 20 years of age at the time of her husband's death. I am willing to be examined on commission if the Honourable Court so pleases.

Koduri Lakshmanaswamy
21/8/39

Solemnly affirmed before me this 21st day of August, 1939 and signed his name in my presence.

P. George
Honorary Magistrate
Vetapalem,
21.8.39

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE ~~(illegible)~~.

R. Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian and next friend present surviving eldest brother K. Seshagirirao (2nd petitioner).		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Siram Ramayya.

--*--

I, Siram Ramayya, son of Subbarayudu, aged 55 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant and land-lord of Chirala in Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my brother-in-law. 1st petitioner is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his

99

share on partition with Koduru Ranganayakulu and his other brothers. When late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years the respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not either attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu and Koduru Ranganayakulu had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father, and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some years after we found him to Madras hawking wares and he gradually with the said advancing creditors built up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agarbathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. T h e business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

(101

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

AT THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother K. Seshagirirao(2nd petitioner)		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Grandhi China Ramanaiah

I, Grahdhi China Ramanaiah, son of Narasimham, aged 42 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant of Gundugolanu, Elore Taluk, West Godavari District, Madras Province, now at Vetapalem, Guntur District, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my nephew. 1st petitioner is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his share on partition with Koduri Ranganayakulu and the

other brothers. When late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years the respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu and Koduri Ranganayakulu had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some years after we found him at Madras hawking wares and he gradually with the aid advancing creditors built-up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agrabathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. The business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

4. The first petitioner was 20 years of age at the time of her husband's death. I am willing to be examined on commission if the Honourable Court so pleases.

Solemnly affirmed before me this 21st day of August 1939 the contents of this affida-vit having been read over to the deponent in Telugu, he being unacquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

P. George,
Honorary Magistrate,
Velapalem,
21.8.39.

(108)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AT THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagiri Rao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother(2nd petitioner) K.Seshagirirao.		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Ramisetty Perayya Naidu.

I, Ramisetty Perayya Naidu, son of Peddamma aged 60 years, Telaga by caste, Hindu and land-lord of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

I am a resident of Vetapalem village in Guntur District. The petitioners and the respondent belong to the same village. The petitioners are living at Bangalore City doing business in Agarbathis. The respondent has been living from the time of my discretion at Vetapalem excepting for casual absences for a day or two. He has been living the life of a wastrel and a debauch. He lost all his property long ago and has been living with the help of his brothers and going as a tout. Late Venkateswarlu and petitioners 2 and 3, 4, 5 are his sons.

Late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem at the age of about 16, and after some time we came to know that he made a small fortune at Madras by hawking wares. I came to know of this when petitioners 2 and 3 were requisitioned by him to start a business in Agarbathis at Bangalore City. The mother and his other two brothers (petitioners 4 & 5) also went there some time after. She was maintaining the family while at Vetapalem by the wages she earned by rice pounding and the rent of the house given to her by her father.

By the time late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem, the family had no property of any kind, the same being wasted away by the respondent.

I am a school teacher of Vetapalem and own properties worth about Rs. 10000—0—0

1

Solemnly affirmed this 21st day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the deponent in Telugu, he being unacquainted with English, who appearend to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

P. George
Honorary magistrate
Vetapalem
21.8.39

21.8.39

(110

Before the Honourable the British Resident in Mysore
as the Political Agent at Bangalore.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagiri Rao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother (2nd petitioner) K. Seshagirirao		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Bandla Venkaiah.

I, Bandla Venkaiah, son of Venkali Subbarayudu, aged 52 years, Hindu, Devangulu by caste and Village Munsiff of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

I am a resident of Vetapalem village in Guntur District. The petitioners and the respondent belong to the same village. The petitioners are living at Bangalore City doing business in Agarbathis. The respondent has been living from the time of my discretion at Vetapalem excepting for casual absences for a day or two. He has been living the life of a wastrel and a debauch. He lost all his property long ago and has been living with the help of his brothers and going as a tout. Late Venkateswarlu and petitioners 2 to 5 are his sons. Late

Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem at the age of about 16 and after some time we came to know that he made a small fortune at Madras by hawking wares. I came to know of this when petitioners 2 and 3 were requisitioned by him to start a business in Agarbathis at Bangalore City. The mother and his other two brothers (petitioners 4 and 5) also went there some time after. She was maintaining the family while at Vetapalem by the wages she earned by rice pounding and the rent of the house given to her by her father.

By the time late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem, the family had no property of any kind, the same being wasted away by the respondent.

I am a Village Munsiff of Vetapalem and ~~(em pro-perties worth about Rs.)~~ I am paying a land revenue of Rs. 60/-

B Venkaiah
21.8.39

Solemnly affirmed this 21st day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the deponent in Telugu, he being un-acquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

P. George,
Honorary Magistrate,
Vetapalem.
21.8.39

114

Before the Honourable the British Resident in Mysore
as the Political Agent at Bangalore.

R. Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Rangarao. 4. K. Subbarao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother (2nd petitioner) K. Seshagirirao.		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu

Affidavit filed by Nayudi Venkata Subbarao.

I, Nayuni Venkata Subbarao, son of Kathujain aged 49 years, Hindu, Arr Velama by caste and Karnam of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

I am a resident of Vetapalem village in Guntur District. The petitioners and the respondent belong to the same village. The petitioners are living at Bangalore City doing business in Agarbathis. The respondent has been living from the time of my discretion at Vetapalem excepting for casual absences for a day or two. He has been living the life of a wastrel and a debauch. He lost all his property long ago and has been living with the help of his brothers and going as a tout. Late Venkateswarlu and petitioners 2 to 5 are his sons. Late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem at the age of about 16 and after some time

115

we came to know that he made a small fortune at Madras by hawking wares. I came to know of this when petitioners 2 and 3 were requisitioned by him to start a business in Agarbathis at Bangalore City. The mother and his other two brothers (petitioners 4 and 5) also went there some time after. She was maintaining the family while at Vetapalem by the wages she earned by rice pounding and the rent of the house given to her by her father.

By the time late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem, the family had no property of any kind, the same being wasted away by the respondent.

I am a Karnam of Vetapalem and own properties worth about Rs. 6000—0—0. I am paying land revenue Rs 40—0—0.

M V Subbarao
21.8.1939

Solemnly affirmed this 21st day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the deponent in Telugu, he being un-acquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and signed his name in my presence.

P George,
Honorary Magistrate,
Vetapalem
21.8.39

116

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother(2nd petitioner)K. Seshagirirao		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Vutukuri Subbarayudu.

--oOo--

I, Vutukuri Subbarayudu son of Papaiah, aged 50 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

1. I am a resident of Vetapalem village in Guntur District. The petitioners and the respondent belong to the same village. The petitioners are living at Bangalore City doing business in Agarbathis. T h e respondent has been living from the time of my discretion at Vetapalem excepting for casual absences for a day or two. He has been living the life of a wastral and a debauch. He lost all his property long ago and has been

living with the help of his brothers and going as a tout. Late Venkateswarlu and petitioners 2 to 5 are his sons. Late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem at the age of about 16, and after some time we came to know that he made a small fortune at Madras by hawking wares. I came to know of this when petitioners 2 and 3 were requisitioned by him to start a business in Agarbathis at Bangalore City. The mother and his other two brothers (petitioners 4 and 5) also went there some time after. She was maintaining the family while at Vetapalem by the wages she earned by rice pounding and the rent of the house given to her by her father.

By the time late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem, the family had no property of any kind, the same being wasted away by the respondent.

I am the ~~Village Munsiff~~ merchant of the village Vetapalem and own properties worth about Rs. 5000-0-0

Solemnly affirmed before me this 21st day of August 1939 and signed his name in my presence.

P. George
Honorary Magistrate,
Vetapalem.
21.8.39

(120

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

AT THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE ~~~~.

R.Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagiri Rao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K.-Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother (2nd petitioner) K. Seshagirirao.		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Chunduri Ankamma.

I, Chunduri Ankamma, son of Pitchayya aged 65 years, Vysyas by caste, Hindu and patriarch of Vysyas of the village Anumallipet, Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

I am a resident of the village Anumallipet, Vetapalem in Guntur District. The petitioners and the respondent belong to the same village. The petitioners are living at Bangalore City doing business in Agarbathis. The respondent has been living from the time of my discretion at Vetapalem excepting for casual absences for a day or two. He has been living the life of a wastral and a debauch. He lost all his property long ago and has been living with the help of his brothers and going as a tout. Late Venkateswarlu and petitioners 2,3,4 and 5 are his sons. Late Venkateswarlu left Vetapalem at the ~~ef~~ age of about 16, and after some time we came to know

121

that he made a small fortune at Madras by hawking wares. I came to know of this when petitioners 2 and 3 were requisitioned by him to start a business in Agarbathis at Bangalore City. The mother and his other two brothers (petitioners 4 & 5) also went there some time after. She was maintaining the family while at Vetapalem by the wages she earned by rice pounding and the rent of the house given to her by her father.

By the time late Verkateswarlu left Vetapalem, the family had no property of any kind, the same being wasted away by the respondent.

I am a merchant of the village Anumallipet, Vetapalem and own properties worth about Rs. 8000—o—

26th

Solemnly affirmed this day of August 1939, the contents of this affidavit having been read over to the de-ponent in Telugu, he being unacquainted with English, who appeared to have perfectly understood the same and singed his name in my presence.

25/8/39

P. George
Honorary magistrate,
Vetapalem
26.8.39.

Before the Hon'ble the
British - Resident in Mysore as
the Political Agent
at Bangalore.

R. Dis No. 9/(17)/1939

Petitioners:—

K. Mampalambā (alias)
Thayaramma.
K. Seshagiri Rao
3 Others.

Vs

Respondent:—

Kodur Venkatarambhayya

Replies of the Petitioners
to the objections of the
Respondent filed on 14-8-39.
& Documents.

Filed on 28-8-39

K. Subbiah Setty B.A.B.L.
Advocate for Petitioners

S.N.CO

124

12.9.39

N. Chetty.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
13 SEP 1939
Diary No. 9518
File No. 109 (17)/39

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE CITY.

R. Dis. No: 9 (17)/1939.

Petitioners.	Vs	Respondent.
K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma and 4 others.		Koduri.Venkatasubba-rayadu.

Petitioners' List of Further Documents filed:-

Sl.No:	Date of Document.	Description.
(1.)	30-8-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by Koduri.Subbiah of Vetapalyam.Respondent is his elder brother.
(2.)	1-9-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by B.Veeranagappa of Bangalore city.
(3)	8-9-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by A.R.Govindarajulu ofBangalore city.
(4.)	8-9-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by B.Venkatrayappa of Bangalore city.
(5.)	11-9-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by K.Channaraya Setty of Bangalore city.
(6.)	11-9-1939.	Affidavit sworn to and signed by the above 1st Petitioner K.Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma, the widow of Koduri.Venkateswaralu, of Bangalore city.

As some ofthe court documents which had to be produced today are said to have been destroyed at the Northern-Circars Courts, the petitioners are unable to produce them.

(7.) The petitionershave also this day produced a Memo of the Authorities on which they rely, which may kindly be perused and verified.

Bangalore city.
Date: 12-9-1939.

K. Subbiah Setty

Advocate for Petitioners

129

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT AT BANGALORE CITY.

R. Dis. No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners.	vs.	Respondent.
1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma. 2. K. Seshagirirao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K. Subba Rao. 5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian & next friend present surviving eldest brother(2nd petitioner)K. Seshagirirao		Koduri Venkata Subbarayudu.

Affidavit filed by Kuduri Subbaiah.

I, Koduri Subbayya, son of China Subbarayudu, aged 50 years, Hindu, Vysya merchant of Vetapalem, Guntur District, Madras Province, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:-

1. I know the petitioners and the respondent. Respondent is my [illegible] elder brother. Petitioner 1 is the widow of late Venkateswarlu, the eldest son of the respondent and the other petitioners are his other sons.

2. Respondent has been from his youth addicted to woman-vice and wasted away the property that fell to his share on partition with me and the other brothers. When late Venkateswarlu was of the age of about 12 years

128

the respondent was destitute and had to depend upon the help of his brothers and the parents of his wife. He did not attend to the education of late Venkateswarlu and Koduri Ranganayakulu had to send him to school.

3. The said late Venkateswarlu when he was of the age of 16, could not put up with the poverty, the insults of his father and the ill-treatment of his mother by him, left Vetapalem, none knew then where. Some years after we found him at Madras hawking wares and he gradually with the aid advancing creditors built up a small fortune and sent for the 2nd and 3rd petitioners and started business in and manufacture of Agarbathis at Bangalore City. Subsequently he got his mother and the other two brothers to him as no longer he could bear to see their misery. While late Venkateswarlu was away at Madras and Bangalore City the mother with the rent of the house she got from her father and the wages she could earn by pounding rice was maintaining the family. The said late -- Venkateswarlu or his brothers had nothing of the family nucleus with them in building up the business or making any money. The business outstandings and other property that is now in the hands of the petitioners is the self acquired of the late Venkateswarlu with the aid of his brothers.

130

Before the Honourable British Resident in Mysore

As the Political Agent at Bangalore.

R. Dis No. 9/(17)/1939.

Petitioners	V/S	Respondant.
1. Mangalammaba, alias Thayaramma. 2. K.Seshagiri Rao. 3. K. Ranga Rao. 4. K.Subba Rao. 5. K.Basaviah. Minor by guardian and next friend present survying eldest brother 2nd petitioner K.Seshagiri Rao.	)	Koduri Venkata Subba Rao.

AFFIDAVIT BY B.VEERANAGAPPA.

I, D.Veeranagappa son of S.Papiah aged about 33 years, Hindu,by caste Kunchitgar, Proprietor of Sree Ranganatha Soapnut works and also proprietor of Mysore Golden Agar--bathi Works, Hospital Road, Bangalore City do here by solemnly affirm and beg to state on oath as follows:-

I am a residant of Bangalore City from the time of my fore fatners. Iknow the petitioners2 to 5 and also the husband of the 1st petitioner, late Mr, Koduri Venka--teswarlufor the last 5 years; When late Mr, Koduri Venka--teswarlu 1st opened his firm known as K.V. & Bros about 5 years ago for the manufacture of Agarbathies in Bangalore City, I Supplied him the necessary drugs powder from my factory known as Sree Ranganath Soapnut works and my business with them has been continuing even now. I have also purchased Raw meterials for Agarbathies from the firm of K.V. & Bros when the same was badly needed by me. I know the deceased Koduri Venkateswarlu and the petitioners 2 to

P.T.O

131)

2 to 5 are the sole managing proprietors of the firm K.V. & Bros and it is only they that are conducting all the business of that firm through out, and none else. I have never seen Koduri Venkata Subbarayadu the above re--spondant remaining with the petitioners or helping the petitioners either with his servises or monitary help of any type to their firm K.V. & Bros. Even during the ill--ness of the late Mr, Venkateswarlu I used to attend him every day 2 to 3 times, and even during that period of his illness the respondant never attend upon him. At his fune--rals also the respondant was not present and it is only Mr, Koduri ~~Venkata~~ Subbiah uncle of the deceased Venkata--swarlu that performed the obseques ceremonies and I have personally seen all this as I was present. I own properties to the extent of Rs. 50000/-

B Narayana

Signed and sworn to before me.

B Narayana 1-9-39

BENCH OF MAGISTRATES' COURT BANGALORE CITY.

1-9-39

MAGISTRATE

BENCH OF MAGISTRATES' COURT.
BANGALORE CITY.

134

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AS THE POLITICAL AGENT, BANGALORE.

R. Dis. No. 9 (17) / 1939.

PETITIONERS: - VS - RESPONDENT:

1. K. Mangalamba alias Thayaramma.
2. K. Seshagiri Rao.
3. K. Ranga Rao.
4. K. Subba Rao.
5. K. Basavaiah, minor by guardian and next friend the present surviving eldest-brother the second petitioner, K. Seshagiri Rao.

Koduri Venkatasubba Rayadu.

AFFIDAVIT BY B. VENKATARAYAPPA.

I B. Venkatarayappa Son of B. Hanumanthappa aged about 35 years, Hindu by Caste Setti Balajigas, Salesman and Bills Collector of Sharada Soap Nut Works, Sultanpet, Bangalore City do hereby -- solumnly affirm and beg to state on oath as -- follows:--

I am a resident of Bangalore City from the time of my forefathers. I know quite well the Petitioners 2 to 5 and also the husband of

135

of the 1st Petitioner, Late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu for the past about 5 years; when late Mr. K. Venkateswaralu first opened his firm K. V. & Bros., at Bangalore City for the Manufacture of Agarabathis, I have supplied to the Firm, necessary drugs, powder and Soap-Nut Powder from my Soap-Nut Works. Even now, I have been supplying to their firm all their required drug-powder etc. I know very well that it is late K. Venkateswaralu and the Petitioners 2 to 5 only are the Sole Proprietors of the firm K. V. & Bros, and it is only they that are managing that firm and none else. -- Koduri Venkatasubbarayadu the above respondent has never lived jointly with the Petitioners, nor has he helped them with money or services to their firm K. V. & Bros.

I have been going to their firm 4 to 5 times every day for the past 5 years, and I have been well conversent with their family affairs and their business transactions. During the illness of late Mr. K. Venkateswaralu I have nursed him every day and night and have also brought medicines to him from the Doctor.

In fact, I was with the patient K. Venkateswaralu throughout his illness and for several hours every day and night.

In my presence a few days prior to his death, the said K. Venkateswaralu told his wife the present 1st Petitioner K. Mangalamba

B. Venkatarayappa

137

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT AS THE

POLITICAL AGENT, BANGALORE.

R. Dis. No. 9 (17)/1939.

Petitioners:--

1. K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma.
2. K. Seshigiri Rao.
3. K. Ranga Rao.
4. K. Subba Rao
5. K. Basaviah

minor by next friend & guardian the present surviving eldest-brother, the 2nd Petitioner K. Seshigiri Rao.

Vs:

Respondent:--

Koduri Venkata Subbarayadu.

Affidavit by K. Channaraya Setty.

I, K. Channaraya Setty son of K. Seetharamanna aged about 37 years Hindu, Vysya, Agarabathi & Ball Thread Merchant, Thimmaraya Setty's Lane, Nagarthapet, Bangalore City do hereby solumnly affirm and beg to state on oath as follows:--

I am a resident of Bangalore City for the ~~x~~ last about 8 years. I know quite well the Petitioners 2 to 5 and also the husband of 1st Petitioner,' late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu for the past about

about 5 years. When late Mr. Koduri Venkateswaralu first opened his firm K. V. & Bros., at Bangalore City for the manufacture of Agarabathis, I have supplied to the firm, the yarn thread and the card-board pads containing Agarabathi in tubes. Even now, I have been supplying them to their said Firm. Besides this, I also purchase from their firm K.V. & Bros., several kinds of perfumes and agarabathis I require. I know very well that it is late Mr. K. Venkateswaralu and the Petitioners 2 to 5 only are the sole proprietors of the firm K. V. & Bros., and it is only they that are managing that firm and none else. Koduri Venkatasubbarayadu the above respondent has never lived jointly with the Petitioners; nor has he helped them with money or services to their firm K. V. & Bros.

I have been going to their firm 4 to 5 times every day for the past 5 years, and I have been very well conversent with their family affairs and their business transactions. During the illness of late Mr. K. Venkateswaralu, I have nursed him every day and night throughout, and have also brought medicines from the Doctor.

In my presence also, a few days prior to his death, the said K. Venkateswaralu told his wife the present 1st Petitioner K. Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma and also the

Before the Hon'ble the
British Resident in Mysore
as the Political Agent
at Bangalore.

Petitioners:—

K. Mangalamba (alias)
Thayaramma and
4 Others

Vs

Respondent:—

Koduri Venkatasubba.
- Rayadu.

List of Documents and
the Documents, &
Memo of Authorities
filed on 12-9-39.

K. Subbiah Setty B.A., B.L.
Advocate for Petitioners

S. N. 12

144

OFFICE OF THE
H[illegible] THE RESIDENT
H[illegible], BANGALORE.
25 SEP 1939
Diary No. 9931
File No.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore at Bangalore.

R.O. No. 9 (17) of 1939.

In the matter of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswarulu.

Applicants		Respondent
Mangalamba alias Thayaramma and 4 others.	Vs	Koduri Venkata-subbarayudu.

The respondent begs to file the -- certified copy of the registered sale deed dated 2-12-1936 executed by the respondent, the deceased Venkateswarulu and petitioners 2 to 5 (petitioners 3 to 5 at that time - minors represented by their father the -- respondent) wherein the recital that the - respondent and his sons are undivided, clearly proves the allegations of the petitioners to be false. The recital is marked in the copy in red pencil and means "respondent and his undivided ~~brothers~~ sons".

2. The respondent also begs to file the following documents to show that the respondent was also participating in the business at - Bangalore:

a) Card dated 28-5-1936 written by the -- respondent to G. Venkatakrishnamma Chetty & Co., Madras.

b) Letter dated 29-5-1936 with patti both written by the respondent to the said - G. Venkatakrishnamma Chetty & Co., Madras, with enevelope.

39

145

c) Letter (card) dated 1-6-1936 written by the respondent to the said party.

All the above letters relate to the business.

3. The respondent also begs to file -- another card written by the respondent on 4-5-1936 to one Yellamanda Chetty (a nephew of the respondent) wherein it is clear that the 1st petitioner attained age only on -- 2-5-1936 on which date she was only 13 years old, which shows that she is still a minor.

4. The respondent also begs to file a card dated 11-5-1936 from Bangalore to -- Koduri Sambamurthy who appears to have -- given an affidavit falsely and filed by the petitioners that the respondent and the -- petitioners have not been on good terms. This letter disproves that allegation.

Sd. Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu.
Respondent.

Advocate for respondent

Bangalore,
Dated 22nd September 1939.

(2)

8 As.

147



149

Cor mil h

28/5/96

151

POST CARD.

Estd. 1925.

Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

No. 28 Appaji Rao's Lane,

Nagarthpet, : Bangalore City.

To

M.R.Ry.

G. Venkata Krishna-
ma Chetty & Co.,
Merchants.
21, Chinnathambi
Mudali Street,
G.T. Madras.

153

Date 29/5/ 1936

154

#

MARK—K. V. & BROS.,

INVOICE.

155 ESTD. 1925.

Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

No. 28, APPAJI RAO'S LANE,

NAGARTHPET, - - BANGALORE CITY.

No. 248 Parcel No. [illegible] Date 29/5/1936

To Messrs [illegible] Dr

Dear Sirs

As per your esteemed order dated [illegible] we beg to advise the despatch of the under-mentioned goods by [illegible] and the [illegible] by V-P-P- which please accept and honour on presentation. We sincerely hope that the goods will meet to your entire satisfaction and further orders will be placed with us.

No.	Quantity Seers	bundles	DESCRIPTION	Rate Rs.	Per	Amount Rs.	as.	ps.
1	1	2	[illegible]	1-8-0	[illegible]	1	8	0
2	1	2	[illegible]	1-0-0	[illegible]	1	0	0
3	1	2	[illegible]	0-10-0	[illegible]	0	10	0
1	3	72	[illegible]	3-0-0	[illegible]	9	0	0
20	1	8	[illegible]	0-8-0	[illegible]	0	8	0
20	2	16	[illegible]	1-0-0	[illegible]	1	0	0
1	1	1	[illegible]	0-8-0	[illegible]	0	8	0
1	1	8	[illegible]	0-8-0	[illegible]	0	8	0
20	1	2	[illegible]	0-8-0	[illegible]	0	8	0
1	1	8	[illegible]	1-12-0	[illegible]	1	12	0
9	5	5	[illegible]	0-12-0	[illegible]	3	12	0
11	5	5	[illegible]	0-8-0	[illegible]	2	8	0
2	25	25	[illegible] ₹100 [illegible]	1-0-0	[illegible]	0	4	0
						23	6	0

N. B:—Please note that every parcel bears mark K. V. & Bros., befroe taking delivery

Yours faithfully
For Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,
Proprietor

PASS NO. 73 BOOK NO. 0/367 WEIGHT 15 TO PAY 1-8-0 [illegible]

తమ ఆర్డరుప్రకారం మంచి సరుకులు పంపి ఈ దినం పాసు పంపినాము. దయవుంచి వి. పి. డిలివిరి తీసుకొనేది.

தங்களது ஆர்டர் பிரகாரம், திடமுள்ள சரக்கை அனுப்பி, பாஸ் அனுப்பி யிருக்கிரோம். தயவு சைது வி. பி. யை டில வரி எடுத்துக்கொள்ளவும்.

KARNATAKAPRESS, CHICKPET, B-CITY

MARK—K. V. & BROS., **INVOICE.** ESTD. 1925.

Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

No. 28, APPAJI RAO'S LANE,

NAGARTHPET, - - BANGALORE CITY.

No. 249 *Parcel No.* 205 *Date* 29/5/1936

To *Messrs* ..... *Dr*

Dear Sirs

As per your esteemed order dated ..... we beg to advise the despatch of the under-mentioned goods by ..... and the ..... by V. P. P. which please accept and honour on presentation. We sincerely hope that the goods will meet to your entire satisfaction and further orders will be placed with us.

No.	Quantity Seers bundles	DESCRIPTION	Rate Rs.	Per	Amount Rs.	as.	ps.
32	½	6 X	1-2-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	23	6	0
					0	9	0
1	½	6 X	1-4-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	0	10	0
			0-12-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	0	6	0
1	½		1-2-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	0	9	0
1	½		0-14-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	0	7	0
5/16	½	6 X	1-8-0	ಕೆಜಿಗೆ	1	8	0
1	81	12 X			0	2	0
					27	9	0
					1	11	6½
					25	13	6
					1	3	0
					24	10	6

N. B:—Please note that every parcel bears mark K. V. & Bros., befroe taking delivery

Yours faithfully
For Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

PASS NO. 73 BOOK NO. 0/367 WEIGHT 0-15 TO PAY 1-3-0

Proprietor

తమ ఆర్డరుప్రకారం మంజి సరుకులు పంపి ఈ దినం పాసు పంపినాము. దయవుంచి వి. పి. డిలివిరి తీసుకొనేది.

தங்களது ஆர்டர் பிரகாரம், திடமுள்ள சரக்கை அனுப்பி, பாஸ் அனுப்பி யிருக்கிரோம். தயவு சைது வி. பி. யை டில வரி எடுத்துக்கொள்ளவும்.

KARNATAKAPRESS, CHICKPET, B-CITY

From

Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros

High Class agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

NO. 36 APPAJI RAO'S LANE,

NAGARTHPET, BANGALORE CITY

To

Messrs.

M. R. Ry.

Gollapudi Venkatakrishna

Mma Chetty &

21. Chinnatambi Mudali Stree

G. T. Madras


INDIA POSTAGE
ONE ANNA
INDIA POSTAGE
THREE PIES



Estd. 1925.

Koduri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

No. 28 Appaji Rao's Lane,

Nagarthpet, : Bangalore City.

POST CARD.



To

M.R.Ry.

MADRAS



ON. NARAYANA CHETTY
P. VEDAGIRI CHETTY & CO.
Cotton Merchants & Commission Agents,
BHUMIRAMBI MUDALY STREET, MADRAS.

11/5/36 160

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ

POST CARD

To

M.R.Ry.

X. Ravinoola Post
(Cuddapah Dist)

Est' 1925.

Koluri Venkateswaralu Setty & Bros,

High Class Agarbathi Manufacturers & Perfumers,

No. 28 Appaji Rao's Lane,

Nagarthpet, : Bangalore City.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore at Bangalore.

R.O. No. 9 (17) of 1939.

Mangalamba alias Thayaramma
and 4 others.

Applicants

Vs

Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu.

Respondent

Memo with documents.

A.V. Shankar Rao Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,

Advocate for respondent.

S.N.12.

(164)

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
2
Diary No. 10,162
File No.

H.B.Rao
2.X.39

Mr. Chetty.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore at Bangalore.

R.O.No. 9 (17) of 1939.

In the matter of an estate of the
late Koduri Venkateswarulu.

Applicants
Mangalamba
alias Thayaramma
& others.

vs

Respondent.
Koduri Venkata
Subbarayudu.

The respondent begs to
file the translations of the
certified registered Sale deed
& the other letters filed in
the above case.

(Sd) Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu.
Respondent

Bangalore,
Dt. 2-10-1939

Translation of the Sale Deed

Sale Deed for Rs 1310-6-3 of immoveable property. The sale deed executed on 2-12-1936 in favour of 1) Vetapalem Ramadasu, son of Malliah and 2) -- Vetapalem Lakshmiah, son of Ankiah, residing in Vetapalem, Chirala Sub Registration District, - Guntur Distirct, Barber Caste, occupation-barbers by 1) Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu, son of Chinna-Subbarayudu, residing in Vetapalem, Chirala Sub Registration District, Guntur District, Vysya by caste, merchant and his undivided sons -- 2) Venkateswarayulu, 3) Seshagiri Rao, 4) Ranga Rao, 5) Subba Rao and 6) Basaviah, 4, 5 and 6 minors, by their father and guardian the aforesaid Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu:

This property having been acquired by the 1st of us Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu and having been in our joint possession and enjoyment and the same having been mortgaged for a sum of - Rs 1000/-/- on 25-11-1924 in favour of the late Rao Sahib Balla Bapiah Setty of Ravuripeta and in respect whereof a decree has been obtained in C.S. No. 679 of 1930 in the Court of the - District Munsiff, Baptla, against all of us and for the purpose of discharging the decree for which execution has been taken in Dr. No. 319 of 1936 and in respect of which a sale warrant has been issued, and in order to avoid the sale - therein, we held a private auction of the property before the ryots of Ravuripeta and you became the purchaser through your representative -- Adimula Venkatapathy of Anumallipeta, we hereby sell and convey the property concerned in the said mortgage and decree and described in the

schedule hereunder for a sum of Rs 1310-6-3 (Rs One Thousand three hundred and ten annas six and pies three) the receipt of which is acknowledged as follows the said amount has to be paid by you in full discharge of the decree and to be paid by you to the estate of the late Balla Bapiah Setty represented by - joint receivers Balla Basaviah, Guthi Venkiah, and Balla Subbarayudu in terms of the compromise decreesin O.S. 526 of 1931 and 213 of 1933 on the file of the High Court of ~~Kxxxrx~~ Madras,. We hereby assign all our rights and interest in the said property in your favour and have delivered over possession to you. You have hereafter got to pay the revenue assessment in respect of the said property. You will hereafter be absolutely entitled to all rights of water etc therein and enjoy the same with power to deal with the same by sale, mortgage, gift or otherwise. We or our heirs shall not obstruct you or your heirs in any way in the enjoyment thereof. We had executed a covl/in for 5 years favour of Chinna Sundara Reddy son of Degala Sami Reddy with effect from ~~12-2x~~ Dhathu - Savanthsara to Vikraya Savanthsara as commencing from 12-2-1936 and the same has been registered; as the crops were destroyed on account of a - cyclone the said covl is hereby cancelled and has been handed over to you. This has not been alienated to any-body-else. If we have - alienated, we and our heirs will be liable - for all damages and will make good any loss accruing thereby and in case of any claim - from others we shall see that such claims set at rest at our own costs. This sale -

Translations of the letters filed.

a) 'Sreeramulu'

Camp:
Bangalore City,
28-5-36.

To

Messrs. Gollapadi Venkatakrishnamma Setty
& Co.

Dear Sirs,

Your relative Mr. Koduri Venkata-subbarayudu's good wishes to you. As you had told me in Madras, I am sending some samples of agarbattis by rail tomorrow. As per the rates of those various samples, I am also - sending the respective rates of those samples by post tomorrow. This letter is only to inform you of our sending the samples. My wife is - some what doing well here. For these things, please reply soon informing the welfare of all of you. Here all are doing well. In the samples which we intend to send you tomorrow, there - will not be any inferior varieties. We will - send you only those varieties which can be sold. I request you to take some interest in selling these varieties which we are sending tomorrow and try your level best to get them sold. With regard to this transaction we request you to let us know whether we can send you goods as to be sold in your favour or we can send them as to be sold for commission. This you can let us know after you have had the selling - rate of the goods. On after seeing the salable value of the goods which we send, you can let us know your wish of transacting with us.

I am,
Yours faithfully,

(Sd) K.V.S. (in Telegu)

e) Camp: Sreeramulu 11-5-1936.
Bangalore City

Best wishes to brother M.R.Ry. Koduri Sambamurthy Sreshti Garu from Venkatasubbarayudu. I hope you would have received the letter written from here to Madrasand noted its contents. The swelling is not to be found to my wife from yesterday evening up to 5 O'clock today evening,. The swelling has been stopped. Yesterday evening the doctor came to our residence. When we asked him about the swelling he said that it would go away within 3,4 days and that we should not be afraid of the same. He added that there won't be any danger to life. He also said that he would get the swelling brought down within the Amavasya day and also advised that he would ask us to take her after she had recovered within Amavasya. So, as per the Doctor's advice, we cannot say anything in reply. So we have to depend upon God for the recovery of my wife. You also know everything of the Doctor's advice and other matters. Please let me know the welfare of all in Ravinuthala and also give my love and wishes to sister Seethamma. Please also tell all these things to all of our relatives. Please let me know the welfare of Soma Venkatasubbiah, Sreeramulu, Sri: brother Murthy, Chi: Punnamma, Rukkinamma, Chi: Yellamanda Sreshti Garu, and also tell them my wishes. Please also let me know the welfare of sister Seethamma, Venkatasubbamma Garu and tell them my wishes and also let me know the welfare of Sreeramulu, and Rukkinamma and also write to me whether they are having sound health. Please also write

(166)

b) 29-5-1936.

To

Messrs. Gollapudi Venkatakrishnamma & Co.,

Good wishes from Koduri Venkateswarulu & Bros. We hope that you would have noticed the contents of our yesterday's letter. Today we have despatched one bag of Perfumes by - railway parcel to Madras Central Station. The total amount of the Patti comes to Rs 27-9-0.

Rs 1-11- 6	your commission at 6-4-0 %		
1- 3- 0	Parcel, railway charges.		
2-14- 6	Total.	(less duduction)	2-14-6
		Balance.	24-10-6

i.e. Twenty four rupees ten annas and six - pies only (remainder)

According to this, you open our account and let us know the same. We are enclosing with this letter a railway pass - frieght Rs 1-3-0. As soon as you receive this letter, the -- railway pass and the patti, please bring the goods and let us know the same. We came to know from our father that you had talked with him about our business matters and dealings while our father was in Madras. So, we request to take some interest in selling our goods in large quantities and to get us goods orders, for our goods in large quantities. For the patti of the goods we have despatched to you we have deducted the railway fare and also your commission at 6-4-0% and for the -- remainder we have sent you the patti. There are still many other varieties of our goods. Within the bag of goods which we have sent to you, we have also enclosed order book and also catalogues. Though we have inferior varieties

the welfare of all of you. Here all are doing well.

Thanking you.

Sd. A.K.V.S. (in telegu)

d) Sreeramulu

Camp Madras. 4-5-1936.

Best wishes and greetings from Sri: Koduri Venkatasubbarayudu to his ~~son~~ son-in-law - Polisetty Yellamanda Sreshti. I hope that you would have received my letter of 1st instant, written from Bangalore and that you would have ~~noticed~~ noted its contents. Now your mother-in-law is some what feeling well. Your - mother-in-law and the children will leave - Bangalore on Monday and arrive Vetapalem on Wednesday, which I have written to you. But today the programme to start from here has been stopped, because, as per the doctor's words it has been said that her disease was to some extent can be cured by the Grace of God. So the programme to start has been stopped. The doctor also added that she would be got cured of her disease completely if she stays here alone for 15 or 20 days and that she would also be cured of her weakness within that time. So as per the doctor's advice was such, we have to stop from starting from here to - Vetapalem. I came to Madras from Bangalore for yesterday's morning train. About 10 days ago, my elder daughter-in-law and her mother came to Madras from Kumbakonam and they have informed me about the same, to Bangalore. In order to get the daughter-in-law and her mother to Bangalore, I had come to Madras. I came to know
When I come to Madras that the daughter-in-law

170

had matured 3 days ago at 1-30 P.M. After finishing her 7th day bath on Friday, I shall take her to Bangalore on Saturday. Here the custom is to make the matured girls bath on the 7th day. It is not the custom here to make the matured girls bathe on every alternative day as it is in our villages. Myself and my brother Sambamurthy garu are visiting daily the residence of the daughter-in-law and there we are inquiring about all things of her. Thus at this time, I have seen the daughter-in-law and also her attaining age. When I went to Bangalore I came to know that your mother-in-law had recovered from her disease by the Grace of God and for the same I have been praying God. Please inform about this matter to your grand mother, to your mother, to your aunt Punnamma, to your sister Rukkinamma, to Sri. uncle Murthy, to Sri. uncle Venkatasubbiah and brother-in-law Sreeramulu. Last Friday in order to get paddy settled, you had gone to Vetapalem and this - information I got from my brother Mr. Sambamurthy's letter also ..... (illegible)

(The rest of the sentence and other contents are not visible as the paper being scraped away)

Please note all these.

Sd. K.V.S. (in Telegu)

Two translations. attached herewith for Report.

172

whether the study examinations to Vellamanda Greshti were finished.

Please note all these things.

Sd. K.V.S. (in Telegu).

"True translation"

A. Subbarayudu

Advocate for respondent.

S N-14

COURT FEE
Mr K. Subbaiah Setty
10-1-40
ONE RUPEE

175

Before the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore
as the Political Agent,
B a n g a l o r e.

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
10 JAN 1940
Diary No. 353
File No.

Petition No: R.Dis9(17)/1939.

Petitioners	Vs	Opponent
(1) K.Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma & 4 others.	Koduri. Venkatasubbarayadu.	

The 1st petitioner K.Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma above stated begs to submit as follows:-

(1) That in the above case, the Hon'ble Court has ordered to grant the Succession Certificate sought for, in the name of this 1st petitioner only, on her furnishing Security, and has sent the records to the Deputy-Commissioner, Bangalore, directing her to execute the Bond along with her solvent-surity before him.

(2) As this 1st petitioner has not been able to furnish a proper surity in the Bangalore District or any where else in the Mysore State, and as this 1st petitioner hereby undertakes to furnish her solvent-surity (in British India ie) at Vetapalyam, Bapatla Taluk, Guntur District, Mauras Presidency, she prays that the records already sent from this Hon'ble Court to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, may kindly be recalled, and the same be sent to the Collector of Guntur for necessary action and return.

(3) This 1st petitioner's address at Vetapalyam is as follows:- K.Mangalamba (alias) Thayaramma, Widow of Koduri.Venkateswaralu, C/O, Mr, Koduri.Lakshmanaswamy, Iron Merchant, Vetapalyam, Bapatla Taluk.

(x) K. Subbiah Setty x K.ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟರವರು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು

Advocate for 1st Petitioner. 1st Petitioner.

I, the 1st petitioner do hereby declare that what is stated is true to the best of my knowledge.

Bangalore City
10-1-40

x K.ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟರವರು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು

SW 15

176

Res. Mysore 23.

~~Letter.~~

No.

Issue 25/1.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To The District Judge, Guntur.

~~The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.~~
~~The District and Sessions Judge, C. & M. Station, Bangalore.~~

Issued 27/1/40 Dm.

Dated Bangalore, the 27th Jan 1940.

Subject :—

Succession Certificate in the matter of the estate of the late Kodari Venkateswarulu

SIR,

I am directed to say that on the application of Mangalamba alias Thayaramma and four others, age 43 &c, residing at C/o Kodari Lakshmanaswamy, Iron Merchant, Vetapalyam, Bapatla Taluk, for a Succession Certificate under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 (Act XXXIX of 1925) to collect a sum of Rs. 11,163-4-0, due to the estate of the deceased.

from the New India Assurance Co., Ltd., Bombay and Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Co., Ltd., Bombay ~~on account of/under as per details noted in the margin~~

181 the Hon'ble the Resident has directed the grant of the certificate on the applicant/~~guardian/next friend~~ furnishing a bond with one surety~~(ies)~~ for the sum of Rs. 11,163-4-0 to be approved ~~by the Deputy Commissioner/you~~ by you ~~District.~~

I am to request that if there is no objection, ~~the Deputy Commissioner~~/you ~~District, may kindly be asked~~/will be so good as to call upon the 1st applicant(~~s~~)/~~guardian/next friend~~ personally or through her/~~his/their~~ advocate Mr. K. Subbiah ~~Shetty~~ Bly of Bangalore

174 to furnish the security bond, duly executed on a British Indian General Stamp paper of the value of Rs. 57:- -4- in the accompanying form, and ~~that the same may be caused to be~~ forwarded to this Residency on completion.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Secretary to the Resident.

26/1

793—9-3-1935—200—RPB.

A.S.Rao 24.1.40

17.1.40

S N. 66.

177

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No.

Draft Letter ~~Memorandum~~ ~~Telegram~~

Received 27/1/40 pm

Class for telegrams | INLAND: Immediate / Important / Express / Ordinary | FOREIGN: Immediate / Important / Ordinary / Without priority

R.Dis No. F9(17)/39 Judl dated 27th Jan 1940

To be issued on

Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures S N. 13.

(Code word for telegrams.)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Succession certificate in the matter of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswarlalu.

****

Sir,

I am directed to refer to letter from this Residency R.Dis. F.No.9(17)/1939/Judl. dated the 16th November 1939. The applicant is unable to furnish security in Bangalore City. No further action is necessary therefore in the matter.

I have etc.,

for Secretary to the Resdt.

19.1.40

BRa
24.1.40

S. 5.

MGIPC—S5—1505(S&P)MFP—(M-944)—6-3-39—60,000 Pads.

9a 1574 S. N. 17

178

Dis. No. 2963
12-4-40

District Court of Guntur

Dated 11th April 1940

From

M.Zahiruddin Esquire B.A.B.L.,

District Judge,

Guntur.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
15 APR. 1940
To
Diary No. 3853
File No.

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore

F 9(17)/39

Sir,

Subject: Succession Certificate in the matter of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswarlu.

Reference: Your letter dated 27--1--1940 - R.Dis. No.F 9(17)-39 Judl.

S.N. 15
Corr p 176

I have the honour to forward herewith the security bond duly executed on ~~A~~ general stamp papers of the value of Rs.5 by Mangalamba alias Thayaramma, the applicant and V.Lakshminarasimhadoss her surety and tested and approved by me.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

mughmuddin
12 4 40.
District Judge

1179 3 Rs.



तीन रुपया

No 141
10-4-40

Bond.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AT BANGALORE.

Application in file R.Dis. No. 9 (17 of 1939 for a certificate under Section 382 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 (Act XXXIX of 1925), in the matter of the estate of Koduri Venkateswarlu, who was ordinarily residing at Bangalore City, now deceased.

Applicant: Mangalamba alias Thayaramma, widow of Koduri Venkateswarlu, No. 438, Avenue Road, Bangalore City and 4 others.

--

Know all men by these presents that we (1) Mangalamba alias Thayaramma 1st applicant and (2) Vutukuri Lakshminarasimhadoss, son of Sreeramulu Setty, Surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in -- Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs. 11,163-4-0 (in words) Rupees eleven thousand one hundred and sixty-three, and annas four only) to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, and each of our

2 Rs.



-2-

heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this 12th day of March 1940.

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Mangalamba alias Thayaramma, shall within one year from the grant to the 1st applicant of a certificate under the Indian Succession Act, 1925, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received on account of the estate of the late Koduri Venkateswaralu of the value of Rs. 11,163-4-0 and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses:

Subba Rao

M. Nanjunda Rao

K. [illegible]

Signature of 1st applicant.

V. Lakshminarasimhadoss,

Signature and address of surety.

Land Lord Vetapalem